

Monash Workshop on Numerical Differential Equations and Applications Melbourne, Australia, 10-14 February 2020

Semi-analytical solutions for transport PDEs in heterogeneous media

Dr Elliot Carr

■ elliot.carr@qut.edu.au ♥ @ElliotJCarr ♥ https://elliotcarr.github.io/



Transport equations Heterogeneous media

QUT

• Generic scalar transport equation:

$$R(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial c}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x})\nabla c - \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x})c) + S(c,\mathbf{x}), \qquad \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Heterogeneous media: coefficients vary spatially.



- This talk is comprised of two parts:
 - Part 1:

Semi-analytical solutions to the advection-diffusion-reaction equation in heterogeneous (layered) media.

• Part 2:

Semi-analytical solutions to the homogenization boundary value problem for diffusion in 2D heterogeneous media.

Advection-diffusion-reaction in layered media Problem description



$$R(x)\frac{\partial c}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(D(x)\frac{\partial c}{\partial x} - v(x)c\right) - \mu(x)c + \gamma(x).$$

$$R(x), D(x), v(x), \mu(x), \gamma(x) = \begin{cases} R_1, D_1, v_1, \mu_1, \gamma_1, & 0 < x < \ell_1, \\ R_2, D_2, v_2, \mu_2, \gamma_2, & \ell_1 < x < \ell_2, \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ R_m, D_m, v_m, \mu_m, \gamma_m, & \ell_{m-1} < x < L. \end{cases}$$

Advection-diffusion-reaction in layered media Governing equations

▶ Governing equations (Guerrero et al., 2013; van Genuchten and Alves, 1982):

$$R_{i}\frac{\partial c_{i}}{\partial t} = D_{i}\frac{\partial^{2}c_{i}}{\partial x^{2}} - v_{i}\frac{\partial c_{i}}{\partial x} - \mu_{i}c_{i} + \gamma_{i}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

$$c_{i}(x,0) = f_{i},$$

$$c_{i}(\ell_{i},t) = c_{i+1}(\ell_{i},t),$$

$$\theta_{i}D_{i}\frac{\partial c_{i}}{\partial x}(\ell_{i},t) = \theta_{i+1}D_{i+1}\frac{\partial c_{i+1}}{\partial x}(\ell_{i},t),$$

where $v_i \theta_i = v_{i+1} \theta_{i+1}$.

Nomenclature:

- $c_i(x, t)$: solute concentration [ML⁻³] in the *i*th layer
- *R_i*: retardation factor [–]
- *D_i*: dispersion coefficient [L²T⁻¹]
- *v_i*: pore-water velocity [LT⁻¹]
- *μ_i*: rate constant for first-order decay [T⁻¹]
- *γ_i*: rate constant for zero-order production [T⁻¹]
- θ_i : volumetric water content [L³L⁻³] in the *i*th layer

Advection-diffusion-reaction in layered media Typical boundary conditions

- ▶ Inlet boundary condition (*x* = 0):
 - Concentration-type:

$$c_1(0,t) = c_0(t),$$

• Flux-type:

$$v_1c_1(0,t) - D_1 \frac{\partial c_1}{\partial x}(0,t) = v_1c_0(t),$$

▶ Outlet boundary condition (*x* = *L*):

$$\frac{\partial c_m}{\partial x}(L,t) = 0$$

General boundary conditions:

Inlet:
$$a_0c_1(0,t) - b_0 \frac{\partial c_1}{\partial x}(0,t) = g_0(t),$$

Outlet: $a_Lc_m(L,t) + b_L \frac{\partial c_m}{\partial x}(L,t) = g_L(t)$

Advection-diffusion-reaction in layered media Analytical solution via eigenfunction expansion

Eigenfunction expansion solution:

$$c_i(x,t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n T_n(\lambda_n;t) X_n(\lambda_n;x).$$

- ▶ Eigenvalues (λ_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$) are identified by substituting eigenfunctions into the boundary and interface conditions and enforcing a non-trivial solution.
- ▶ This yields a nonlinear transcendental equation for the eigenvalues arising from the evaluation of a $2m \times 2m$ determinant

 $f(\lambda) = 0,$ where $f(\lambda) := \det(\mathbf{A}(\lambda)), \qquad \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{2m \times 2m}.$

- ▶ For many layers (large *m*) evaluating $f(\lambda)$ is numerically unstable.
- Solutions tend to breakdown for m > 10 layers (Carr and Turner, 2016).
- Solutions for maximum of seven layers given by Liu et al. (1998) (advection-diffusion only with $\mu_i = \gamma_i = 0$) and Guerrero et al. (2013) (advection-diffusion-reaction with $\gamma_i = 0$).

- ▶ Idea: reformulate the model into *m* isolated single layer problems (Carr and Turner, 2016; Rodrigo and Worthy, 2016; Zimmerman et al., 2016).
- ▶ Introduce unknown functions of time, $g_i(t)$ (i = 1, ..., m 1), at the layer interfaces (Carr and Turner, 2016; Rodrigo and Worthy, 2016):

$$g_i(t) := \theta_i D_i \frac{\partial c_i}{\partial x}(\ell_i, t).$$

> Yields isolated single layer problems e.g. in the first layer:

$$R_1 \frac{\partial c_1}{\partial t} = D_1 \frac{\partial^2 c_1}{\partial x^2} - v_1 \frac{\partial c_1}{\partial x} - \mu_1 c_1 + \gamma_1$$

$$c_1(x,0) = f_1,$$

$$a_0 c_1(0,t) - b_0 \frac{\partial c_1}{\partial t}(0,t) = g_0(t),$$

$$\theta_1 D_1 \frac{\partial c_1}{\partial x}(\ell_1,t) = g_1(t).$$

> Each problem coupled together by imposing continuity of concentration at the interfaces.

- Solve each layer problem expressing the solution in terms of the unknown interface functions.
- ▶ Taking Laplace transforms yields boundary value problems e.g. in the first layer:

$$\begin{split} D_1 \frac{d^2 C_1}{dx^2} &- v_1 \frac{dC_1}{dx} - (\mu_1 + R_1 s) C_1 = -R_1 f_1 - \frac{\gamma_1}{s}, \\ a_0 C_1(0,s) &- b_0 \frac{dC_1}{dx}(0,s) = G_0(s), \\ \theta_1 D_1 \frac{dC_1}{dx}(\ell_1,s) = G_1(s), \end{split}$$

where $C_i(x, s) = \mathcal{L}\{c_i(x, t)\}$ denotes the Laplace transform of $c_i(x, t)$ with transformation variable $s \in \mathbb{C}$ and $G_i(s) = \mathcal{L}\{g_i(t)\}$ for i = 1, ..., m - 1.

Laplace transforms of the boundary functions:

$$G_0(s) = \mathcal{L}\{g_0(t)\}$$
$$G_L(s) = \mathcal{L}\{g_L(t)\}$$

are assumed to be able to be found analytically.

- The boundary value problems all involve second-order constant-coefficient differential equations
- ▶ Solving using standard techniques defines the concentration in the Laplace domain:

$$\begin{split} C_1(x,s) &= A_1(x,s)G_0(s) + B_1(x,s)G_1(s) + P_1(x,s), \\ C_i(x,s) &= A_i(x,s)G_{i-1}(s) + B_i(x,s)G_i(s) + P_i(x,s), \quad i = 2, \dots, m-1, \\ C_m(x,s) &= A_m(x,s)G_{m-1}(s) + B_m(x,s)G_L(s) + P_m(x,s), \end{split}$$

where the functions P_i , A_i and B_i (i = 1, ..., m) are known functions.

▶ To determine $G_1(s), \ldots, G_{m-1}(s)$, the Laplace transformations of the unknown interface functions $g_1(t), \ldots, g_{m-1}(t)$, we enforce continuity of concentration at each interface in the Laplace domain:

$$C_i(\ell_i, s) = C_{i+1}(\ell_i, s), \quad i = 1, \dots, m-1.$$
 (1)

- ▶ This yields a tridiagonal system of linear equations Ax = b, where $x = (G_1(s), \dots, G_{m-1}(s))^T$.
- ▶ Summary: Concentration can be evaluated at any *x* and *s* in the Laplace domain.

- Inversion of the Laplace transform is carried out numerically.
- ▶ Hence, our solution method is *semi*-analytical.
- ▶ Trefethen et al. (2006) defines the following approximation:

$$c_i(x,t) = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \{C_i(x,s)\} \approx -\frac{2}{t} \Re \left\{ \sum_{\substack{k=1\\k \text{ odd}}}^N w_k C_i(x,s_k) \right\},$$

where *N* is even, $s_k = z_k/t$ and $w_k, z_k \in \mathbb{C}$ are the residues and poles of the best (*N*, *N*) rational approximation to e^z on the negative real line.

- Summary: Concentration can be evaluated at any *x* and *t* in the time domain.
- Attractiveness is that the solution is completely explicit. Unlike eigenfunction expansion solutions that require a nonlinear algebraic equation to be solved for the eigenvalues:

$$f(\lambda) = 0,$$

where $f(\lambda) := \det(\mathbf{A}(\lambda)), \qquad \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{2m \times 2m}.$

Advection-diffusion-reaction in layered media Heaviside inlet boundary condition

In solute transport problems, it is common to apply a Heaviside step function at the inlet:

$$c_0(t) = c_0 H(t_0 - t) = \begin{cases} c_0, & 0 < t < t_0, \\ 0, & t > t_0, \end{cases}$$

where c_0 is a constant and $t_0 > 0$ is the duration.

- ▶ Yields $G_0(s) = \exp(-t_0 s)/s$ and $G_0(s) = v_1 \exp(-t_0 s)/s$ for the concentration-type and flux-type boundary condition, respectively.
- Such exponential functions are well known to cause numerical problems in algorithms for inverting Laplace transforms (Kuhlman, 2013).
- ▶ To overcome this problem, we use superposition of solutions

$$c_i(x,t) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{c_i}(x,t), & 0 < t < t_0, \\ \widetilde{c_i}(x,t) - \widehat{c_i}(x,t-t_0), & t > t_0, \end{cases}$$

where $\tilde{c}_i(x, t)$ is the solution with $g_0(t) = c_0$ and $\hat{c}_i(x, t)$ is the solution with $g_0(t) = c_0$, $f_i = 0$ and $\gamma_i = 0$.

Advection-diffusion-reaction in layered media QUT One layer test case



Benchmarked against single-layer analytical solutions (van Genuchten and Alves, 1982).

Absolute errors

$t = 10^{-3}$	t = 0.1	t = 0.2	t = 0.4	<i>t</i> = 0.6	t = 4
4.11×10^{-14}	5.53×10^{-10}	8.69×10^{-9}	1.24×10^{-9}	$5.84 imes 10^{-8}$	6.10×10^{-10}

Advection-diffusion-reaction in layered media Multi-layer test cases (without reaction)



BCs:
$$v_1c_1(0,t) - D_1 \frac{\partial c_1}{\partial x}(0,t) = v_1c_0, \qquad \frac{\partial c_5}{\partial t}(30,t) = 0.$$

Agrees with Liu et al. (1998) and Guerrero et al. (2013) solutions.

Advection-diffusion-reaction in layered media Multi-layer test cases (with reaction)



BCs:
$$v_1c_1(0,t) - D_1 \frac{\partial c_1}{\partial x}(0,t) = v_1c_0, \qquad \frac{\partial c_2}{\partial t}(30,t) = 0.$$

Indicates a problem with Guerrero et al. (2013) solution for $\mu_i \neq 0$.

Advection-diffusion-reaction in layered media Multi-layer test cases



BCs:
$$v_1c_1(0,t) - D_1 \frac{\partial c_1}{\partial x}(0,t) = v_1c_0H(t_0-t), \qquad \frac{\partial c_5}{\partial t}(30,t) = 0.$$

Agrees with standard numerical solution (finite volume).

Advection-diffusion-reaction in layered media QUT

- ► Summary:
 - Developed a semi-analytical Laplace-transform based method solution to the onedimensional linear advection-dispersion-reaction equation in a layered medium.
 - Novelty: introduce unknown functions at the interfaces between adjacent layers, which allows the multilayer problem to be solved separately on each layer.
 - Solution is quite general. Accommodates arbitrary number of layers and arbitrary time-varying boundary conditions at the inlet and outlet.
 - Solutions generalise recent work on diffusion (Carr and Turner, 2016; Rodrigo and Worthy, 2016) and reaction-diffusion (Zimmerman et al., 2016) in layered media.
- ► Limitations:
 - Specific initial and interface conditions.

https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.08387 https://github.com/elliotcarr/Carr2020a

Solving advection-diffusion-reaction problems in layered media using the Laplace transform

Elliot J. Carr^a

^aSchool of Mathematical Sciences, Queensland University of Technology (QUT), Brisbane, Australia.

Homogenization of 2D heterogeneous media Introduction

▶ Fine-scale diffusion model:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (-D(\mathbf{x}) \nabla u) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2.$$

- If the scale at which the diffusivity D(x) changes is small compared to the size of the domain Ω, then the amount of computation required to solve this model is prohibitive due to the very fine mesh required to capture the heterogeneity.
- \blacktriangleright This can be overcome by homogenizing or partially-homogenizing the heterogeneous medium $\Omega.$
- Homogenized diffusion model:

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (-\mathbf{D}_{\rm eff}(\mathbf{x}) \nabla U) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2.$$

where $U(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is a smoothed/coarse-scale approximation to the fine-scale solution $u(\mathbf{x}, t)$.

Homogenization of 2D heterogeneous media Effective diffusivity for a cell $Y = [0, L]^2$

Cell problem for first column of D_{eff} (Hornung, 1997):

$$\nabla \cdot (D(\mathbf{x})\nabla(\psi + \mathbf{x})) = 0, \quad \mathbf{x} = (x, y) \in Y = [0, L]^2,$$

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) \text{ is periodic with period } Y, \quad \frac{1}{L^2} \int_Y \psi \, dV = 0,$$

$$\mathbf{D}_{\text{eff}}(:, 1) = \frac{1}{L^2} \int_Y D(\mathbf{x})\nabla(\psi + \mathbf{x}) \, dV.$$

Cell problem for second column of D_{eff} (Hornung, 1997):

$$\nabla \cdot (D(\mathbf{x})\nabla(\psi + y)) = 0, \quad \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}, y) \in Y = [0, L]^2,$$

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) \text{ is periodic with period } Y, \quad \frac{1}{L^2} \int_Y \psi \, \mathrm{d}V = 0,$$

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{eff}}(:, 2) = \frac{1}{L^2} \int_Y D(\mathbf{x})\nabla(\psi + y) \, \mathrm{d}V.$$

Homogenization of 2D heterogeneous media Solution of cell problems

For a layered medium, the cell problems can be solved exactly:

$$\mathbf{D}_{\rm eff} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{\rm a} & 0\\ 0 & D_{\rm h} \end{bmatrix},$$

where D_a and D_h are the arithmetic and harmonic means:

$$D_{\rm a} = \frac{D_A + D_B}{2}, \quad D_{\rm h} = \frac{2D_A D_B}{D_A + D_B}.$$



- ▶ For complex geometries, numerical methods are required (Carr and Turner, 2014; Rupp et al., 2018; Szymkiewicz and Lewandowska, 2006).
- The goal of this work is to develop a semi-analytical method for solving the cell problems and computing D_{eff}.

Homogenization of 2D heterogeneous media Block heterogeneous medium

- ▶ Complex heterogenous geometries can be represented as an array of blocks.
- Consider the $Y = [0, L]^2$ consisting of an m^2 grid of rectangular blocks:



- ▶ Each block is isotropic with its own diffusivity value.
- ▶ Consider the cell problem for **D**_{eff}(:, 1) (second column follows similarly)...

Homogenization of 2D heterogeneous media Block heterogeneous medium

Cell problem becomes:

 $0 = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (D_{i,j} \boldsymbol{\nabla} (\psi_{i,j} + \boldsymbol{x})),$

where $D_{i,j}$ is the diffusivity in the (i, j)th block (row *i*, column *j*).

- Solution and the flux are continuous at each interface:
 - Horizontal interfaces:

$$\psi_{i,j}=\psi_{i+1,j},\quad D_{i,j}\frac{\partial\psi_{i,j}}{\partial y}=D_{i+1,j}\frac{\partial\psi_{i+1,j}}{\partial y}.$$

• Vertical interfaces:

$$\psi_{i,j} = \psi_{i,j+1}, \quad D_{i,j} \left(\frac{\partial \psi_{i,j}}{\partial x} + 1 \right) = D_{i,j+1} \left(\frac{\partial \psi_{i,j+1}}{\partial x} + 1 \right).$$



Homogenization of 2D heterogeneous media Change of variable: $v_{i,j} = \psi_{i,j} + x$

Cell problem becomes:

$$\nabla^2 v_{i,j} = 0,$$

where $D_{i,j}$ is the diffusivity in the (i, j)th block (row *i*, column *j*).

- Solution and the flux are continuous at each interface:
 - Horizontal interfaces:

$$v_{i,j} = v_{i+1,j}, \qquad D_{i,j} \frac{\partial v_{i,j}}{\partial y} = D_{i+1,j} \frac{\partial v_{i+1,j}}{\partial y}.$$

• Vertical interfaces:

$$v_{i,j} = v_{i,j+1}, \qquad D_{i,j} \frac{\partial v_{i,j}}{\partial x} = D_{i+1,j} \frac{\partial v_{i,j+1}}{\partial x}.$$



Homogenization of 2D heterogeneous media Reformulation

Introduce unknown functions for the diffusive fluxes at interfaces between adjacent blocks:



Homogenization of 2D heterogeneous media Solution on individual block (Polyanin, 2002)

Solution on each block:

$$\begin{split} v_{i,j}(x,y) &= -\frac{a_{i,j,0}}{4l_j}(x-x_j)^2 + \frac{b_{i,j,0}}{4l_j}(x-x_{j-1})^2 - \frac{c_{i,j,0}}{4h_i}(y-y_i)^2 + \frac{d_{i,j,0}}{4h_i}(y-y_{i-1})^2 \\ &- h_i \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{i,j,k}}{\gamma_{i,j,k}} \cosh\left[\frac{k\pi(x-x_j)}{h_i}\right] \cos\left[\frac{k\pi(y-y_{i-1})}{h_i}\right] \\ &+ h_i \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{i,j,k}}{\gamma_{i,j,k}} \cosh\left[\frac{k\pi(x-x_{j-1})}{h_i}\right] \cos\left[\frac{k\pi(x-x_{j-1})}{h_i}\right] \\ &- l_j \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_{i,j,k}}{\mu_{i,j,k}} \cosh\left[\frac{k\pi(y-y_i)}{l_j}\right] \cos\left[\frac{k\pi(x-x_{j-1})}{l_j}\right] \\ &+ l_j \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_{i,j,k}}{\mu_{i,j,k}} \cosh\left[\frac{k\pi(y-y_{i-1})}{l_j}\right] \cos\left[\frac{k\pi(x-x_{j-1})}{l_j}\right] \\ &+ k_i \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_{i,j,k}}{\mu_{i,j,k}} \cosh\left[\frac{k\pi(y-y_{i-1})}{l_j}\right] \cos\left[\frac{k\pi(x-x_{j-1})}{l_j}\right] + K_{i,j,k} \end{split}$$

Homogenization of 2D heterogeneous media Coefficients

Coefficients are integrals of unknown flux functions, e.g.

$$a_{i,j,k} = \frac{2}{h_i} \int_{y_{i-1}}^{y_i} \frac{g_{(i-1)n+j}(y)}{D_{i,j}} \cos\left(\frac{k\pi(y-y_{i-1})}{h_i}\right) dy.$$

▶ We approximate these integrals numerically using a midpoint rule, e.g.

$$a_{i,j,k} \approx \frac{2}{D_{i,j}h_i} \sum_{p=1}^{N} \omega_p g_{(i-1)n+j}(y_p) \cos\left(\frac{k\pi(y_p - y_{i-1})}{h_i}\right),$$

where *N* is the number of abscissas per interface and ω_p and y_p are the appropriate weights and abscissas.

- ▶ Quadrature approximation requires the evaluations of the unknown interface functions at the abscissas, e.g. $g_{(i-1)n+j}(y_p)$.
- ▶ By determining these evaluations, we can compute the coefficients (e.g. $a_{i,j,k}$) and thus compute the effective diffusivity.



▶ Enforce continuity of the solution at the abscissas along each interface, e.g.

$$v_{i+1,j}(x_p, y_i) - v_{i,j}(x_p, y_i) = 0$$
 (horizontal interface).

▶ This yields a system of linear equations that can be solved for the evaluations of the unknown interface functions:

Ax = b,

where **x** is a vector of dimension $m^2(N + 1)$ containing the required evaluations.

As we have an analytical expression for the solution of the interface functions, the entries of D_{eff} can be expressed in terms of the coefficients, e.g.

$$\mathbf{D}_{\text{eff}}(1,1) = \frac{1}{L^2} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \left[\frac{D_{i,j} A_{i,j} (a_{i,j,0} + b_{i,j,0})}{4} + l_j^2 \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{(c_{i,j,k} - d_{i,j,k}) [1 - (-1)^k]}{k\pi} \right],$$

where $A_{i,j} = l_j h_i$ is the area of the (i, j)th block.

Linear system dimension Comparison to a standard numerical method



- ▶ *m* by *m* array of square blocks.
- ▶ *N* abscissas per interface.
- Assume spacing between abscissas and nodes is equal.
- Linear system:

Ax = b

- Finite volume method: Dimension of **x**: m^2N^2 .
- Semi-analytical method: Dimension of x: $m^2(2N + 1)$.



Figure 1: Abscissas (4×4 array of blocks).

Linear system dimension Comparison to a standard numerical method



- ▶ *m* by *m* array of square blocks.
- ▶ *N* abscissas per interface.
- Assume spacing between abscissas and nodes is equal.
- Linear system:

Ax = b

- Finite volume method: Dimension of **x**: m^2N^2 .
- Semi-analytical method: Dimension of x: $m^2(2N + 1)$.



Figure 2: Nodes (4×4 array of blocks).





Standard test case (Szymkiewicz, 2013): 4 × 4 array of blocks.Diffusivity:1.00.1.



	Semi-Anal	ytical	Finite Volume		
Ν	$ (\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{eff}}-\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_{\mathrm{eff}})./\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{eff}} $	Runtime (s)	$ (\mathbf{D}_{\text{eff}} - \widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_{\text{eff}})./\mathbf{D}_{\text{eff}} $ Runtime (s)		
4	$\begin{pmatrix} 6.84e-3 & 5.04e-3 \\ 5.04e-3 & 4.47e-3 \end{pmatrix}$	0.00747	$ \begin{pmatrix} 1.30e-2 & 2.44e-3 \\ 2.44e-3 & 8.47e-3 \end{pmatrix} 0.00923 $		
8	(3.01e-3 2.21e-3) (2.21e-3 1.98e-3)	0.0109	$\begin{pmatrix} 4.82e-3 & 1.88e-3 \\ 1.88e-3 & 3.14e-3 \end{pmatrix} 0.0277$		
16	$\begin{pmatrix} 1.40e-3 & 1.02e-3 \\ 1.02e-3 & 9.23e-4 \end{pmatrix}$	0.0331	$\begin{pmatrix} 1.75e-3 & 9.12e-4 \\ 9.12e-4 & 1.14e-3 \end{pmatrix} = 0.115$		
32	$\begin{pmatrix} 6.77e-4 & 4.94e-4 \\ 4.94e-4 & 4.48e-4 \end{pmatrix}$	0.0629	$\begin{pmatrix} 6.17e-4 & 3.76e-4 \\ 3.76e-4 & 4.02e-4 \end{pmatrix} \qquad 0.530$		
64	$\begin{pmatrix} 3.42e-4 & 2.50e-4 \\ 2.50e-4 & 2.27e-4 \end{pmatrix}$	0.270	$\begin{pmatrix} 2.05e-4 & 1.36e-4 \\ 1.36e-4 & 1.33e-4 \end{pmatrix} $ 2.92		

 \mathbf{D}_{eff} : Approximate \mathbf{D}_{eff} (semi-analytical or finite volume method) \mathbf{D}_{eff} : Benchmark \mathbf{D}_{eff} using finite volume method with a very fine grid.





QUT

- Semi-analytical method for solving boundary value problems on block locally-isotropic heterogenous media.
- Method provides explicit formula for effective diffusivity D_{eff} for highly complex heterogeneous media.
- ▶ While achieving equivalent accuracy, semi-analytical method is faster than a standard finite volume method for the test problems we considered.
- ▶ Improved efficiency due to the much smaller linear system.
- Potential to significantly speed up coarse-scale simulations of heterogeneous diffusion (e.g. groundwater flow, heat conduction in composite materials, etc).

https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.06680 https://github.com/NathanMarch/Homogenization Semi-analytical solution of the homogenization boundary value problem for block locally-isotropic heterogeneous media

Nathan G. March^{a,*}, Elliot J. Carr^a, Ian W. Turner^{a,b}

^aSchool of Mathematical Sciences, Queensland University of Technology (QUT), Brisbane, Australia.
^bARC Centre of Excellence for Mathematical and Statistical Frontiers (ACEMS), Queensland University of Technology (QUT), Brisbane, Australia.

References



- Carr, E. J. and Turner, I. W. (2014). Two-scale computational modelling of water flow in unsaturated soils containing irregular-shaped inclusions. Int J Numer Meth Eng, 98(3):157–173.
- Carr, E. J. and Turner, I. W. (2016). A semi-analytical solution for multilayer diffusion in a composite medium consisting of a large number of layers. *Appl. Math. Model.*, 40:7034–7050.
- Guerrero, J. S. P., Pimentel, L. C. G., and Skaggs, T. H. (2013). Analytical solution for the advectiondispersion transport equation in layered media. *Int. J. Heat Mass Tran.*, 56:274–282.
- Hornung, U. (1997). Homogenization and Porous Media. Springer-Verlag New York.
- Kuhlman, K. L. (2013). Review of inverse Laplace transform algorithms for Laplace-space numerical approaches. Numer. Algorithms, 63:339–355.
- Liu, C., Ball, W. P., and Ellis, J. H. (1998). An analytical solution to the one-dimensional solute advectiondispersion equation in multi-layer porous media. *Transp. Porous Med.*, 30:25–43.
- Rodrigo, M. R. and Worthy, A. L. (2016). Solution of multilayer diffusion problems via the Laplace transform. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 444:475–502.
- Rupp, A., Knabner, P., and Dawson, C. (2018). A local discontinuous Galerkin scheme for Darcy flow with internal jumps. *Computat Geosci*, 22(4):1149–1159.
- Szymkiewicz, A. (2013). Modelling Water Flow in Unsaturated Porous Media. Springer.
- Szymkiewicz, A. and Lewandowska, J. (2006). Unified macroscopic model for unsaturated water flow in soils of bimodal porosity. *Hydrologi Sci J*, 51(6):1106–1124.

- Trefethen, L. N., Weideman, J. A. C., and Schmelzer, T. (2006). Talbot quadratures and rational approximations. BIT Numer. Math., 46:653–670.
- van Genuchten, M. T. and Alves, W. J. (1982). Analytical solutions of the one-dimensional convectivedispersive solute transport equation. U. S. Department of Agriculture, page Technical Bulletin No. 1661.
- Zimmerman, R. A., Jankowski, T. A., and Tartakovsky, D. M. (2016). Analytical models of axisymmetric reaction–diffusion phenomena in composite media. *Int. J. Heat Mass Tran.*, 99:425–431.





Benchmark/Target solution field. Diffusivity: 1.0 0.1 Fine-scale equation: $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (-D(\mathbf{x})\nabla u) = 0.$





Homogenization blocks of size 2 × 2. Diffusivity: 1.0 Coarse-scale equation: $\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (-\mathbf{D}_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{x})\nabla U) = 0.$

Dr Elliot Carr

0.1





Homogenization blocks of size 4×4 . Diffusivity: 1.0 Coarse-scale equation: $\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (-\mathbf{D}_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{x})\nabla U) = 0.$





Homogenization blocks of size 10×10 . Diffusivity: 11 Coarse-scale equation: $\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (-\mathbf{D}_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{x})\nabla U) = 0$.

Dr Elliot Carr





Homogenization blocks of size 12×12 . Diffusivity: 1.0 0.1 Coarse-scale equation: $\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (-\mathbf{D}_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{x})\nabla U) = 0$.

Dr Elliot Carr

QUT

Preliminary investigation into effect of coarse-graining on hydraulic head fields



Coarse-scale equation:
$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (-\mathbf{D}_{\text{eff}} \nabla U) = 0.$$

0.1